	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/646,205	WARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HYDER ALI	3747
Il Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>HYDER ALI</u> .	(3)	
(2) Philip R. Warn.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 July 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:	•	
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Pursuant to a telephone interview with the attoney Mr. Warn. The examiner was informed that the issue fee was and will not be paid. It is the applicant's intention to abandon this case. Therefore the drafting's objection to FIG. 12 will not be corrected.		
Part III.		•
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Hyder Al.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)